



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/028,737	12/19/2001	Paul Michael Kulseth	12105.6US01	9909	
23552 759	90 04/28/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			LE, DANH C		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2683	2683	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,737	KULSETH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-35 and 38-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 36 and 37 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected or by accepted or by acceptance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of 10/028737 in the reply filed on 12/06/04 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 16-19, 22-27, 30-35, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kubler (US 6,525,648).

As to claim 16, Kubler teaches a method of deterring removal of a portable electronic device from a locality (col.1, line 44-col.2, line 5), the method comprising:

rendering operation of the portable electronic device dependent upon a given stimulus, so that the device is inoperable without at least some exposure for some time to the given stimulus;

providing a source of the stimulus within the locality; and

limiting transmission of the stimulus to a region of space within the locality (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 17, Kubler teaches the method of claim 16, wherein step above (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64) comprises:

preempting a power-up sequence, until exposure to the stimulus.

As to claim 18, Kubler teaches the method of claim 16, wherein the portable electronic device is a two-way radio, and wherein step above (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64) comprises:

disabling reception of a radio signal, until exposure to the stimulus.

As to claim 19, Kubler teaches a method of claim 16, wherein the portable electronic device is a two-way radio, and wherein step above (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64) comprises:

disabling transmission of a radio signal, until exposure to the stimulus.

As to claim 22, Kubler teaches the method of claim 16, wherein the stimulus is an identification code modulated against a radio frequency carrier signal (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

As to claim 23, Kubler teaches the method of claim 16, wherein step (a) comprises interrupting an output of avoltage regulator that powers circuitry within the portable electronic device, until exposure to the stimulus (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

As to claim 24, Kubler teaches the method of deterring removal of a portable electronic device from a locality (col.1, line 44-col.2, line 5), the method comprising:

rendering the portable electronic device incapable of properly operating after being powered down, without at least some exposure for some time to a given stimulus during a subsequent power-up sequence;

Art Unit: 2683

providing a source of the stimulus within the locality, and limiting transmission of the stimulus to a region of space within the locality (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

As to claim 25, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 17; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 17.

As to claim 26, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 18; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 18.

As to claim 27, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 19; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 19.

As to claim 30, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 22; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 22.

As to claim 31, Kubler teaches the method of claim 16, wherein the portable electronic device is a two-way radio, the two-way radio being dependent upon said exposure to the given stimulus for operability (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

As to claim 32, Kubler teaches the method of claim 31, wherein the given stimulus is transmission of a radio signal, the two-way radio including operational circuitry for reception and transmission of the radio signal (figure 4, 108).

As to claim 33, Kubler teaches the method of claim 32, wherein the two-way radio includes a power source that provides power to the operational circuitry (figure 4, 140).

As to claim 34, Kubler inherently teaches the method of claim 31, further including closing a stimulus-sensitive switch (power control change state ON or OFF

Art Unit: 2683

when receiver the stimulus signal 136) of the two-way radio upon said exposure to the given stimulus, until such time as the radio is powered down, the stimulus-sensitive switch being interposed between a power source of the two-way radio and the operational circuitry.

As to claim 35, Kubler inherently teaches the method of claim 34, wherein the two-way radio further includes an on/off switch interposed between the power source and the operational circuitry (power control change state ON or OFF when receiver the stimulus signal 136).

As to claim 40, Kubler teaches the method of claim 34, wherein the stimulus-sensitive switch is configured to respond to exposure to a radio frequency signal (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 64).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

# 3. Claims 22, 29, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubler in view of Watkins (US 6,150,948).

As to claim 22, Kubler teaches the method of claim16, Kubler fails to teach the stimulus is an infrared signal. Watkins teaches the stimulus is an infrared signal (col.2, lines 48-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of infrared signal into the

Art Unit: 2683

system of Kubler in order to enhance the system performance of the RFID for wireless communication.

As to claim 29, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 22; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 22.

As to claim 39, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 22; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 22.

# 4. Claims 21, 28, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubler.

As to claim 21, Kubler teaches the method of claim16, Kubler fails to teach the stimulus is a magnetic field. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that stimulus is a magnetic field is well Known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of magnetic signals into the system of Kubler in order to enhance the system performance of the RFID for wireless communication.

As to claim 28, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 21; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 21.

As to claim 38, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 21; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 21.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36, 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 36, the combination of Kubler and Watkins fails to teach further including closing a first switch of the stimulus-sensitive switch in response to said exposure to the given stimulus and closing a second switch of the stimulus-sensitive switch in response to closure of the first switch.

Dependent claim 37 is allowable for the same reason.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A. Carrender et al (US 2002/0149468) teaches system and method for controlling remote devices.
  - B. Becker et al (US 6,726,099) teaches RFID tag having multiple transceiver.
  - C. Hosoi (US 2002/0039916) teaches portable telephone apparatus.
- D. Lee (US 5,565,857) teaches electronic identification system having remote automatic response capability and automatic identification method thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,737 Page 8

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danh C.Le

DANH CONG LE

PATENT EXAMINER